

Here you should summarise the aspects of the *application* you agree and/ or disagree with and say why. Outline what information, local knowledge or evidence you have which supports this. Please aim to limit this section to no more than 500 words (please use extra paper if needed).

GATWICK AIRPORT NORTHERN RUNWAY PROJECT - I WISH TO OBJECT TO THESE PROPOSALS WHICH WOULD INCREASE THE NUMBERS OF PLANES & PASSENGERS USING THE AIRPORT EACH YEAR IN ALREADY HEAVILY CONGESTED AIRSPACE ON THE FOLLOWING GROUNDS:

TRAFFIC: local roads inadequate for any increase, including access to park & ride provision, grid locked if any hold-ups on motorways accessing airport.

AIR POLLUTION: see WHO findings re local levels. link to lower birth weights - The Times in autumn 2023 reported research on 4286 children & mothers living in Denmark, Norway, Sweden, Iceland & Estonia found lower birth weights.

NOISE: noise has a greater impact in a countryside environment, noise not only from aircraft but also from sources on the ground. It is not possible to soundproof a garden.

Noise is harmful to health: a study in the European Heart Journal re Zurich airport in 2000-2015 found the risk of cardiovascular death increases by 33% for night time noise levels between 40/50 decibels & 44% for levels above 55 decibels. Noise disturbs sleep patterns.

LOSS OF COUNTRYSIDE: importance of rural belt round G/W consistently supported at Appeals.
Cont.

①

Please check that you have completed all relevant sections, otherwise you may not be able to take part in the later stages of the Examination.

Please sign your form and give today's date, below:

Signature _____

Date 15 November 2023

The Planning Act 2008 process is primarily a written Examination process and if you are registered as an Interested Party you will have an opportunity, later in the Examination process, to provide a more detailed Written Representation.

You cannot reserve a right to make a Representation later without providing a summary of the points you intend to submit at this stage.

Your Representation should take the form of a summary of what you agree with and/ or disagree within the application, and any impacts you think it would have.

Please note that your Representation must not include material that is:

- vexatious or frivolous;
- about compensation for Compulsory Acquisition of land or of any interest in or right over land; or
- about the merits of policy set out in a National Policy Statement.

The information you include in the Representation section of this form will be used by the Examining Authority to carry out its Initial Assessment of Principle Issues and to decide the best way to examine the application.

loss not compensated for by proposed landscaping & green planting, as ancient woodland irreplaceable - Edolphu Copse is a Site of Nature Conservation Importance; Groves Wood is a Site of Special Scientific Interest. In the woodland are rare plants & abundant wild life. 400 year old woodland cannot simply be relocated or re-created.

EMPLOYMENT: The claim of creating 14,000 additional jobs fails to take into account the on-airport jobs lessening per passenger because of the increased use of AT. Most airport jobs are unskilled & difficult to fill. The 14,000 additional jobs will increase the need for housing and infrastructure - schools, medical facilities, etc. leading to further pressures on the area & increased urbanisation.